

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/826,243 04/04/2001		04/04/2001	Kenjiroh Ikeda	14472	2684
23389	7590	08/13/2004		EXAM	INER
SCULLY S	COTT M	TURPHY & PRES	KISS, ERIC B		
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA GARDEN CITY, NY 11530				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GARDEN CI	.11, 141	11550		2122	

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
v v	09/826,243	IKEDA, KENJIROH					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Eric B. Kiss	2122					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 April 2001</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 April 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	☐ accepted or b)☒ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20040730					

Art Unit: 2122

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-10 have been examined.

Drawings

2. Figure 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the Examiner, the Applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/826,243

Art Unit: 2122

Page 3

Claim 3 recites, "... if the result of said examination indicates that there is no appropriately improved source."

The term "appropriately" in claim 3 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "appropriately" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The phrase "appropriately improved source" is rendered indefinite by the use of the aforementioned term.

Claims 4-10 are rejected based on inherited parent claim limitations recited in claim3 and rejected as set forth above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the NETSCAPE COMMUNICATOR client software, as discussed in "Netscape Accelerates Communicator Evolution with First Release of Next-Generation Communicator Source Code to Developer Community via Mozilla.org," 1998 (hereinafter *Press98*); "Netscape

Art Unit: 2122

Communicator Open Source Code White Paper," 1998 (hereinafter *White98*); "Netscape Communicator Source Code Frequently Asked Questions," 1998 (hereinafter *FAQ98*); and "Netscape Product List," 1998 (hereinafter *Product98*).

As per claims 1 and 2, the practices described regarding the NETSCAPE COMMUNICATOR client software include: practicing a first sale of the software (see, for example, *Product98*, describing how, in 1998, the Communicator Standard Edition software was freely available for download, but could be purchased on CD for \$10, and additionally, a Communicator Professional Edition software package could be purchased for \$29); determining whether or not improvements in said software that is on sale are required (see, for example, the second "answer" presented on page 1 of FAQ98, which discloses the acknowledgement that a better Communicator product with more features is possible and desirable; see also "Benefits of Open Source Development" on pp. 6-7 of White 98); inviting when said improvements are required, an improved source by making public via a network a sales information, said sales information includes a specification of a software component, a source program of said software, and sales figures regarding said software already sold (see, for example, the first paragraph of Press 98, describing the release of the Communicator source code on the mozilla.org web site; and Product98. indicating a price (a sales figure) associated with the Communicator product) and an agreement information associated with said software (see, for example, "Mozilla and Netscape Public Licenses" on pp. 4-5 of White98); performing examination on the improved source applied in response to said invitation in said inviting step (see, for example, "The Role of Mozilla.org" on pp. 3-4 of White98); and carrying out a version-

Best Available Copy

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/826,243

Art Unit: 2122

upgrade to incorporate in said software the improved source that has been determined to be accepted, as a result of said examination performed in said performing step (see, for example, "The Role of Mozilla.org" on pp. 3-4 of White98; and the third "answer" on p. 2 of FAQ98).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Eric B. Kiss whose telephone number is (703) 305-7737. The Examiner can normally be reached on Tue. - Fri., 7:30 am - 5:00 pm. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam, can be reached on (703) 305-4552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EBK/EBK August 4, 2004 WEI Y. ZHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER